



Detection of negative ions in glow discharge mass spectrometry for analysis of solid specimens

Canulescu, Stela; Molchan, Igor S.; Tauziede, C.; Tempez, Agnes; Whitby, J. A.; Thompson, George E.; Skeldon, Peter; Chapon, P; Michler, Johann

Published in:
Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1007/s00216-009-3366-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-009-3366-8)

Publication date:
2010

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Canulescu, S., Molchan, I. S., Tauziede, C., Tempez, A., Whitby, J. A., Thompson, G. E., Skeldon, P., Chapon, P., & Michler, J. (2010). Detection of negative ions in glow discharge mass spectrometry for analysis of solid specimens. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 396(8), 2871-2879. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-009-3366-8>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Detection of negative ions in glow discharge mass spectrometry for analysis of solid specimens

Stela Canulescu · Igor S. Molchan · C. Tauziede ·
Agnes Tempez · J. A. Whitby · George E. Thompson ·
Peter Skeldon · P. Chapon · Johann Michler

Received: 18 September 2009 / Revised: 30 November 2009 / Accepted: 30 November 2009 / Published online: 24 December 2009
© Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract A new method is presented for elemental and molecular analysis of halogen-containing samples by glow discharge time-of-flight mass spectrometry, consisting of detection of negative ions from a pulsed RF glow discharge in argon. Analyte signals are mainly extracted from the afterglow regime of the discharge, where the cross section for electron attachment increases. The formation of negative ions from sputtering of metals and metal oxides is compared with that for positive ions. It is shown that the negative ion signals of F^- and TaO_2F^- are enhanced relative to positive ion signals and can be used to study the distribution of a tantalum fluoride layer within the anodized tantalum layer. Further, comparison is made with data obtained using glow-discharge optical emission spectroscopy, where elemental fluorine can only be detected using a neon plasma. The ionization mechanisms responsible for the formation of negative ions in glow discharge time-of-flight mass spectrometry are briefly discussed.

Keywords RF glow discharge mass spectrometry · Negative ions · Fluorine ions · Pulsed discharge ·

S. Canulescu (✉) · J. A. Whitby · J. Michler
Laboratory for Mechanics of Materials and Nanostructures,
EMPA, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Testing
and Research, Feuerwerkerstrasse 39,
Thun 3602, Switzerland
e-mail: stela.canulescu@gmail.com
e-mail: stela.canulescu@empa.ch

I. S. Molchan · G. E. Thompson · P. Skeldon
Corrosion and Protection Centre, School of Materials,
The University of Manchester, P. O. Box 88,
Manchester M60 1QD, UK

C. Tauziede · A. Tempez · P. Chapon
HORIBA Jobin Yvon SAS, 91160 Longjumeau, France

Anodic tantalum · Mass spectrometry/ICP-MS · Thin films ·
(XPS|XRF|EDX)

Introduction

Recent achievements in the field of materials science have led to significant progress in spectrochemical methods for analytical applications [1, 2]. For example, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) is an established technique for solution analysis [3], and secondary neutral mass spectrometry is a developing tool for quantitative surface analysis with high lateral resolution [4]. Glow discharge mass spectrometry (GDMS) is a powerful analytical method for the direct determination of trace elements in bulk solids down to ppb levels [5], while the newest developed prototypes, i.e., glow discharge time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GD-TOFMS), are also used to offer rapid quantitative depth profiling of ultra-thin (nm) and thin (μm) coatings [6–8]. Progress in the development of instrumentation utilizing pulsed RF glow discharges has enabled the analysis of both electrically conducting and non-conducting materials. Muñiz et al. have demonstrated the analytical capabilities of a pulsed RF-GD-TOFMS system for analysis of multi-nanolayers deposited on glass [9]. Recent advances in GD-TOFMS have been focused on important, new analytical applications, i.e., rapid molecular depth profiling of polymers [10].

Analytical GD devices commonly use argon as the carrier gas for the atomization and ionization of the analytes. In pulsed GD, collisions between sputtered atoms and metastable argon atoms are considered to be responsible for the formation of positive ions upon the termination of the applied power (“afterglow”) [11, 12]. The afterglow

regime, rich in argon metastables, favors the formation of positive ions through Penning ionization (PI) processes [13]. The argon metastable atoms, with high energy levels (e.g., 11.55 or 11.72 eV), can ionize most of the elements in the periodic table. Relatively few elements cannot be ionized by PI in a glow discharge in argon, e.g., H, N, O, F, Cl, and Br.

In GDMS, positive ion detection has yielded excellent analytical signals for most elements, but there are several limitations of the technique. The presence of isobaric interferences limits the detection sensitivity for some elements. For example, $^{19}\text{F}^+$ has interference from $^{19}\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$, $^{40}\text{Ca}^+$ from $^{40}\text{Ar}^+$, and $^{80}\text{Se}^+$ from $^{80}\text{Ar}_2^+$ (required resolving power $m/\Delta m \sim 9,000$).

The analytical utility of negative ions has been explored in ICP-MS systems, where the halogens have been detected with good sensitivities [14]. There have been relatively few studies on detection of negative ions from a GD in argon [15]. Recent trends in GD-TOFMS have shown the potential use of negative ions for analytical applications [16]. An enhancement in the afterglow by at least three orders of magnitude for F^- was reported from sputtered PTFE polymer fragments.

In order to evaluate the performance of newly developed instrumentation, samples with well-known characteristics are required. An ideal material for testing and evaluation is anodic tantalum [17]. Anodic tantalum films may be formed in a very wide range of electrolytes, allowing incorporation of appropriate species. The mobility of many species, including fluorine, during formation of anodic tantalum films is well known that precise prediction of their distribution within the film is possible [18].

Here, anodic tantalum samples, specially produced with known distributions of fluorine species, have been examined using a GD-TOFMS instrument in the negative ion mode. The presence of molecular ions containing fluorine provides a clear evidence of enhanced plasma chemistry and enables differentiation between the relatively pure tantalum layers and the tantalum layer deliberately doped with fluorine.

Experimental

Instrumentation

The GD-TOFMS instrument consists of a high-resolution time-of-flight mass analyzer (Tofwerk AG) coupled to a prototype RF-GD ion source [16]. In addition, an interface system has been implemented to improve transmission and to allow selected mass blanking (e.g., to suppress large signals from argon in order to improve the performance of the instrument). The GD source is a modified Grimm-type source with an inner diameter of 4 mm and an extended flow tube of 16 mm, which guides ions from the source to the sampler, i.e., a fast flow source [19]. It operates using

argon as carrier gas (purity 99.9999%) in a typical working pressure in the source in between 200 and 500 Pa. The carrier gas is additionally purified using a Vici P100-1 inert gas purifier. An argon flow of about 0.2 l/min enhances the transport of ions from the sample to the sampler. Ions generated in the GD source are extracted through a sampling orifice of 340 μm diameter. The RF generator (13.56 MHz) and matching box are from Horiba Jobin Yvon. The RF power is supplied to the back of the sample using a water-cooled cylindrical coupler. The RF generator can be operated in pulsed mode with a frequency ranging from 0.1 to 10 000 Hz and a duty cycle in a range from 0.1 to 0.5. For time-resolved experiments, the RF generator can be operated at a minimum pulsed width of 500 μs and 0.1 duty cycle. During the measurements, the RF coupler (and thus the specimen) is cooled to about 7°C.

The TOF analyzer is an orthogonal extraction reflectron using a MCP detector. It can operate at a maximum extraction frequency of 100 kHz (corresponding to a mass range up to 100 Th). This high time resolution means that many discrete mass spectra can be acquired over the length of an RF pulse and the subsequent afterglow. In the data reduction process, signals may be chosen from any part of the powered phase or afterglow as appropriate. For convenience, several RF pulses are averaged.

For analysis of metals, a RF pulse of 2 ms was followed by an off-pulse of 6 ms. The discharge conditions of 350 Pa argon pressure and 15 W RF power (pressure and power are those indicated by the RF bay control software from Jobin Yvon) were found to yield to large signal intensities. The TOFMS was operated with an extraction frequency of 15 kHz to give a mass range of about 1,400 Th.

The discharge conditions for analysis of the tantalum layer are a compromise between sensitivity and depth resolution. GD-TOFMS depth profiling of tantalum layers was carried out using pulse widths varying between 0.5 and 2 ms, 300 Pa argon pressure and 60 W RF power.

The mass analyzer has bi-polar power supplies to allow either positive or negative ion detection mode operation. For the negative ion mode, the polarity of all ion optic potentials is switched. Switching between positive and negative ion detection modes is relatively fast (1 min). In this paper, only results from negative ion mode operation are shown. Each negative mass spectrum is calibrated using the $^{16}\text{O}^-$ and $^{79}\text{Br}^-$ peaks that are always present in the background spectrum. (The origin of the bromine is unknown but it is a persistent signal. The oxygen is believed to arise from air and water desorbed from the surface of the sample and the source.)

Additionally, the elemental depth distributions of the anodized tantalum layers were examined by GD-OES, using a GD-Profilier 2 (Horiba Jobin Yvon). A 4-mm-diameter copper anode and pure (99.9998%) neon gas were used.

Neon is required in GD-OES to excite emission from fluorine. The emission responses from the excited sputtered elements were detected with a polychromator of focal length 500 mm with 42 optical windows. The emission lines used were 156.149 nm for C, 324.75 nm for Cu, 121.56 nm for H, 130.21 nm for O, and 362.66 nm for Ta. The F signal was recorded using the 685.611 nm line on the monochromator. Elemental depth profiling was carried out at 950 Pa and 60 W with a data acquisition time of 0.01 s for the first 30 s then of 0.1 s. Prior to depth profiling measurement, a sacrificial Si layer was sputtered for 60 s for cleaning purposes [20]. For both steps (Si pre-sputtering and specimen analysis), the neon gas flow was run for 30 s before commencing the plasma.

Specimen preparation

Anodic tantalum films were prepared by anodizing a tantalum layer formed by magnetron sputtering on a 0.3 mm thickness aluminum foil substrate supporting an anodic alumina film. Initially, the 99.99% purity aluminum foil was electropolished in a perchloric acid/ethanol (20/80 vol.%) mixture at temperatures less than 10°C for 5 min. Individual aluminum substrates were then anodized in 0.1 M ammonium pentaborate at 5 mA/cm² to a voltage of 250 V, forming a barrier-type anodic film of 300 nm thickness. This procedure was utilized to generate highly flat surfaces that provide good adhesion of the subsequently deposited tantalum films. Sputter deposition of tantalum was performed using a 99.9% purity tantalum target for 2 h at a constant current of 200 mA, with a residual gas pressure of 4×10^{-7} mbar and a total pressure of 5×10^{-3} mbar of purified argon. Deposited tantalum layers were anodized in individual 0.1 M ammonium pentaborate (APB) and 1 M ammonium fluoride (AF) electrolytes at 1 mA/cm². Further, sequential anodizing in both electrolytes enabled formation of anodic tantalum films with controlled distributions of incorporated fluoride species. The following three sample types were prepared: sample F100V, formed by anodizing in AF to 100 V; sample B80V–F100V, formed by anodizing in APB to 80 V followed by re-anodizing in AF to 100 V; sample B90V–F100V–B120V, formed by anodizing in APB to 90 V, followed by re-anodizing in AF to 100 V and final re-anodizing in APB to 120 V.

Results and discussions

Formation, transport, and sampling of negative ions

Argon plasmas are normally strongly electropositive, meaning that most ions are positive, and the negative

charge is carried almost entirely by electrons. Under these circumstances, due to the higher mobility of electrons than positive ions, an anode sheath forms at grounded surfaces. This sheath has a potential gradient that tends to accelerate positive ions through the sheath, but decelerates negatively charged species. Due to the presence of this sheath and the low number of negative ions present, mass spectrometric observations of argon plasma through a grounded sampling orifice are not expected to yield significant signals for negative ions. This statement is complicated by the possibility of negative ions forming within the anode sheath, in the flowing afterglow plasma downstream of the sampling orifice, or by simply having gained sufficient energy in the cathode sheath to penetrate the anode sheath (true also for RF discharges) [21]. For a pulsed discharge, after the power is removed, the electron temperature falls rapidly, and the electron number density will also drop (not necessarily monotonically). These two effects promote the formation of negative ions and their transport to a sampling orifice, thus resulting in a large increase in signal [16, 22].

The main mechanisms responsible for the negative ions formation in low pressure discharges are electron attachment, dissociative electron attachment, ion pair formation, and charge transfer [21].

Advantages and disadvantages of negative ionization


Advantages of negative ion detection include simpler background spectra and different (often less) fragmentation of molecular species than evident for electron impact or Penning ionization. In the present study, with a pulsed glow discharge, a very large increase in sensitivity for halogens and other species with high electron affinities is evident. A disadvantage is that the cross sections for electron attachment can vary dramatically for different molecules or molecular radicals, hindering ready interpretation of the resulting mass spectrum from a mixture of species.

Negative ions from sputtered metals and metal oxides

Bentz et al. [15] have published the first report of detecting negative ions from a glow discharge in argon by mass spectrometry, where only Au[−] ions were detected. Efficient mass spectrometric sampling can be achieved by pulsing the plasma and collecting ions in the afterglow.

Figure 1a illustrates a negative mass spectrum sampled from the integration of the afterglow region of the pulse profile between 2 and 5 ms. The negative pulse profiles of pulsed RF plasma shown in Fig. 1 are characterized by a plateau regime with low intensities and an afterglow regime with enhanced ion signals. Pt[−] ions show large intensities,

Fig. 1 **a** Negative mass spectrum from 16 to 830 Th of a Pt foil. **b** The negative pulse profile of Pt^- ions, characterized by low signals during the pulse (2 ms) and enhanced negative signals in the afterglow compared to the pulse on region



but also larger clusters, such as Pt_2^- , Pt_3^- , and Pt_4^- , can also be observed. The relative abundance of the cluster ions to each other and to the single platinum ions varies with time in the afterglow, but the cluster ions are always present. Pt has several isotopes, but for simplicity only the most abundant isotopes are shown in the graph. The presence of PtO^- ions in the mass spectrum may indicate either a low purity of the Pt foil, or reactions with the oxygen from the background. There is a relatively abundant negative-ion background from impurities in the gas phase, such as Cl^- , CNO^- , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- .

Table 1 shows the analyte signals obtained in positive and negative ion modes for several bulk materials, e.g., Cu, Ag, Pt, and Au. The intensity values have been corrected for the isotopic abundance. The positive ion signals are rather similar, excepting Cu, where the intensity is one order of magnitude lower. In case of the negative ions, the signal intensity increases with increasing electron affinity. The highest negative ion signals are thus observed for Au. It is worth mentioning that the

optimum discharge conditions for metals do not vary considerably, so a direct comparison between the analyte signals of the different metals is possible. The M^+/M^- ratios indicate that the negative ions signals are almost three orders of magnitude lower than the positive ones, so for metals, the detection of negative ions from glow discharges has little analytical utility in general.

Negative ion mode scans of several metal and metal oxides (not shown) were recorded. Our findings are summarized as follows:

1. Elements with high electron affinities, such as halogens, O, S, Ag, Pt, and Au are present as M^- ions.
2. Other elements, such as Si, Al, Ti, Ta, Mn, and Fe (in an oxide matrix), were not detected as negative ions. They are mainly found as MO^- , MO_2^- , MO_3^- , and MO_4^- or even larger oxides.
3. In general, it appears that larger oxide clusters are observed during sputtering of oxides in negative ion mode compared to the positive ion mode.

Table 1 Negative analyte signals of different metals (comparison with the positive signals)

Sample	Intensity (cps)		Ratio (M^+/M^-)	Electron affinity (eV)
	Positive ions	Negative ions		
Cu	2.55×10^6	3.6×10^2	7,075	1.24
Ag	1.02×10^7	1.5×10^3	6,800	1.3
Pt	1.6×10^7	1.5×10^4	1,000	1.93
Au	2.3×10^7	3.7×10^5	60	2.31


Depth profiling of fluorine-containing tantalum layers by GD-TOFMS

Since analytical benefits of negative ion detection are mainly given by elements with high electron affinities, negative ion GD-TOFMS depth profiling of anodic tantalum samples, with known distribution of fluorine species, is now considered.

Physics of fluorine ion formation

Fluorine is not likely to be detected as a positive ion. This is primarily due to the fact that $^{19}\text{F}^+$ has an unresolved interference with $^{19}\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ (10^3 – 10^4 counts/s), which is a common gas contaminant. Ion formation from the ground state by PI is not possible because the first ionization

Fig. 2 Pulse profiles of elemental and molecular negative ions resulting from the sputtering of an anodic tantalum layer containing fluorine. The RF pulse width was 500 μs . The pulse profiles were extracted from the tantalum layer containing fluorine, as it is shown by the arrows in **b**. The TaO_2F^- and F^- profiles denote the presence of fluorine in the tantalum layer



potential of F (17.32 eV) is higher than the argon metastable levels (3P_2 at 11.55 and 3P_0 at 11.72 eV). However, due to its high electron affinity, fluorine negative ions can be formed in the afterglow regime through electron attachment processes.

Exciting emission lines of fluorine requires a rather large excitation energy [23], and there are no sensitive analytical lines to be selected for GD-OES analysis. Wagatsuma et al. have shown that atomic and ionic lines of F can be excited more efficiently in a neon plasma [24].

Pulse profiles of the negative ions

The pulse profiles of negative ions are shown in Fig. 2a. The pulse profiles were averaged over the region of the fluorine-containing layer, as it is shown by the inset diagram in Fig. 2b. A pulse width of 0.5 ms was found to give the largest ion signals for fluorine. In order to achieve a good depth resolution, the RF power was increased up to 60 W. Both elemental and molecular species are characterized by pre-peak and enhanced afterglow, while the plateau region presents low intensities. The appearance of the pre-peak features in the pulse profile is not clearly understood at the moment. The rise in intensity a few hundred microseconds after the termination of the RF power (first peak in the afterglow) suggests formation of negative ions by electron attachment reactions. This is in particularly true for F, O, and TaO^- . In the afterglow, the electron temperature falls rapidly, and the cross section for electron attachment increases. Complex molecular ions, such as TaO_2F^- and $TaO_4H_2^-$, appear at much later times, e.g., 700 μ s after the pulse, suggesting possible negative ion formation in the flowing afterglow plasma downstream of the sampling orifice by electron capture.

Depth profiling of anodic tantalum films with fluorine-containing layers

RF sputtering of the tantalum films with a fluorine-containing layer results in the formation of Ta^+ and TaO^+ positive ions, while larger oxides, such as TaO_3^- , TaO_2F^- , were mainly found as negative ions. It is not clear to which extent the formation of the large oxide clusters is a consequence of the plasma chemistry, and this needs to be further investigated. GD-TOFMS depth profiles of the anodized samples were integrated from the afterglow region and are shown in Fig. 3a–c. Although the signal intensities in the pre-peak and afterglow present similar intensities, the best depth profile is obtained from the integration of the afterglow region. The oxygen profile defines the location of the anodic tantalum/tantalum interface, and the F and $TaFO_2$ profiles enable monitoring of the distribution of incorporated fluorine species across the anodic film thickness. In





Fig. 3 GD-TOFMS depth profiles of anodic tantalum films formed by sequential anodizing in APB and AF electrolytes: **a** specimen F100, **b** specimen B80–F100, **c** specimen B90V–F100V–B120V

the anodic film formed by single anodizing in AF electrolyte (Fig. 3a), a relatively uniform distribution of fluorine species is evident through most of the anodic tantalum film thickness, with an increased yield of fluorine species in the film region immediately adjacent to the tantalum substrate. The presence of increased fluoride yield in the narrow, near-interface region confirms that inward migration of F^- ions is faster than O^{2-} ions during formation of the anodic tantalum film. According to a previous XPS study, the fluorine-containing layer adjacent to the tantalum substrate is TaF_5 [18]. The anodic film formed by sequential anodizing in APB and AF electrolytes reveals the presence of fluoride-containing outer film region (Fig. 3b). Finally, sequential anodizing in APB, AF, and APB electrolytes results in formation of a fluoride-containing layer within the thickness of the anodic tantalum film (Fig. 3c).

At high current efficiency, growth of anodic tantalum films proceeds at the anodic tantalum/tantalum and anodic tantalum/electrolyte interfaces through inward and outward movement of O^{2-} and Ta^{5+} ions, respectively. The voltage–time response recorded during formation of anodic film of sample B80V–F100V discloses an initial voltage surge of about 2 V at the commencement of anodizing, indicating

the presence of a thin, 2–3-nm-thick, air-formed oxide film over the macroscopic tantalum surface (Fig. 4a). Subsequently, the voltage increases linearly with anodizing time with of 0.38 V/s for anodizing in APB electrolyte. After changing electrolyte for AF, a short transition region of rapidly increasing voltage is evident. When the voltage reaches approximately 80 V, anodizing proceeds with a linearly increasing voltage, but with a reduced slope of 0.28 V/s. Taking into account that formation of anodic tantalum film in APB electrolyte proceeds at approximately 100% efficiency, the reduced rate of voltage rise during film growth in AF suggests a decrease of current efficiency. Dividing the slopes obtained during anodizing in APB and AF electrolytes suggests a current efficiency for anodizing in AF electrolyte of about 73%. Given the transport numbers of Ta^{5+} and O^{2-} ions of 0.24 and 0.76, then 24% and 76% of the total current is consumed for film growth at the electrolyte/anodic film and anodic film/tantalum interfaces, respectively, at 100% current efficiency. The reduced current efficiency of 73% for anodizing in fluoride electrolyte suggests that all outwardly moving Ta^{5+} ions are ejected in the electrolyte, with film growth then proceeding only at the anodic tantalum/tantalum interface. The current efficiency of 73% is 3% less than that

Fig. 4 Film formation on tantalum under conditions employed for specimen B80–F100: **a** voltage–time response recorded during anodizing; schematic diagrams showing the layered structure and extents of migration of species after **b** anodizing in APB and **c** re-anodizing in AF electrolyte



anticipated for film formation only at the anodic film/tantalum interface, i.e., 76%. Hence, the small difference in efficiency is considered to be due to experimental error.

Transport processes during anodic film growth also involve incorporation of species derived from the electrolyte. Given the transport numbers of O^{2-} and Ta^{5+} ions and the mobilities of electrolyte-derived species, their distributions may be predicted precisely. The rate of migration of fluoride ions may be calculated from the depth profiles of Fig. 3b, c. For simplicity, the depth profile of the anodic film formed by anodizing in APB and re-anodizing in AF electrolyte (Fig. 3b) is considered. Schematic diagrams of the layered anodic film and associated ionic migrations involved during film growth are illustrated in Fig. 4b, c, with Fig. 4b displaying the anodic film formed in APB electrolyte. The distances d_{Ta-APB} and d_{O-APB} denote the extents of migration of Ta^{5+} and O^{2-} ions relative to the original sample surface, i.e., the imaginary dotted line and, correspondingly, the thicknesses of film regions formed at the electrolyte/anodic film and anodic film/tantalum interfaces. In accordance with transport numbers, the relative thicknesses of the regions may be expressed as $d_{Ta-APB}/d_{O-APB} = 0.24/0.76$. Further, re-anodizing in AF fluoride electrolyte (Fig. 4c) results in growth of the anodic film only at the anodic film/tantalum interface, with Ta^{5+} ions moving outward, but ejected to the electrolyte without forming film material. The thickness of the film added by re-anodizing is determined by the distance passed by O^{2-} ions, d_{O-AF} . From the nanometer-to-voltage formation ratio of anodic tantalum film, 1.62 nm/V, at a current density of 1 mA/cm², the thickness of the film formed in APB d_{APB} is $80\text{ V} \times 1.62\text{ nm/V} = 129.6\text{ nm}$. The calculated thickness of film region formed in AF $d_{AF}=d_{O-AF}$ is $20\text{ V} \times 1.62\text{ nm/V} = 32.4\text{ nm}$, giving a total film thickness generated by sequential anodizing in APB and AF electrolytes, d_{total} , of 162 nm. Assuming that the sputtering rate of the anodic tantalum film of 2.96 nm/s is constant across the film thickness, then the thickness of the outer fluoride-containing region, d_{F-AF} , measured from the depth profiles of Fig. 3b, is approximately 50.3 nm. The mobility of F^- ions, determined as d_{F-AF}/d_{O-AF} , is about 1.6; thus, during film growth, fluoride ions migrate inward about 1.6 times as fast as oxygen ions.

GD-OES analysis of the samples, using neon plasma, revealed similar distributions of fluorine species within the anodic tantalum films (Fig. 5a–c). Waviness of the tantalum profiles, resulting from optical interference in the optically transparent material, is evident. Further, the response from fluorine species appears noisier compared with the response from $TaFO_2$ in GD-TOFMS examination, suggesting a higher sensitivity of GD-TOFMS in the negative mode for detection of fluorine. The mobility of fluorine ions, calculated from depth profile of Fig. 5b, is about 1.6 that




Fig. 5 GD-OES depth profiles of anodic tantalum films formed by sequential anodizing in APB and AF electrolytes obtained in neon plasma: **a** specimen F100, **b** specimen B80-F100, **c** specimen B90V-F100V-B120V

confirms that obtained by GD-TOFMS. The obtained value is, however, less than that of 2 obtained previously from SIMS analysis [18]. The possible explanation may be associated with the presence of relatively intense hydrogen

peak near the anodic film/tantalum interface. Hydrogen may affect significantly glow discharge parameters, with consequent change of line intensities, sputtering rates, and discharge currents [25, 26]. The change of sputtering rate near the interface may correspondingly reduce the accuracy of measurements, with consequent difference when compared with SIMS data.

Conclusions

The data presented here demonstrate that negative ion detection mode can be successfully employed to study distribution of fluorine layers in anodic tantalum or others in glow discharge mass spectrometry. Detecting fluorine by negative ion GD-TOFMS is an elegant and rapid analysis technique compared to GD-OES, where neon plasma is commonly used to excite fluorine atoms. The analytical utility of the negative ion must still be explored for other complex materials, such as polymers, etc.

Acknowledgment Financial support from the European Union in the form of a Framework 6 STREP grant for the “New Elemental and Molecular Depth Profiling Analysis of Advanced Materials by Modulated Radio Frequency Glow Discharge Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry” (EMDPA) project is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Nickel H, Broekaert JAC (1999) *Fresenius' J Anal Chem* 363:145–155
2. Pisonero J, Fernández B, Günther D (2009) *J Anal At Spectrom* 24:1145–1160
3. Fulford JE, Quan ESK (1988) *Appl Spectrosc* 42:425–428
4. Oechsner H (1995) *Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Process* 143:271–282
5. Hoffmann V, Kasik M, Robinson PK, Venzago C (2005) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 381:173–188
6. Hohl M, Kanzari A, Michler J, Nelis T et al (2006) *Surf Interface Anal* 38:292–295
7. Weiss Z, Marshall K (1997) *Thin Solid Films* 308–309:382–388
8. Pisonero J (2006) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 384:47–49
9. Muniz AC, Pisonero J, Lobo L, Gonzalez C et al (2008) *J Anal At Spectrom* 23:1239–1246
10. Tuccitto N, Lobo L, Tempez A, Delfanti I et al (2009) *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 23:549–556
11. Bogaerts A, Gijbels R (1998) *Spectrochim Acta Part B* 53:1–42
12. Pan C, King FL (1993) *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 4:727–732
13. King FL, Pan C (1993) *Anal Chem* 65:735–739
14. Vickers GH, Wilson DA, Hieftje GM (2002) *Anal Chem* 60:1808–1812
15. Bentz BL, Harrison WW (1981) *Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Phys* 37:167–176
16. Canulescu S, Whitby J, Fuhrer K, Hohl M et al (2009) *J Anal At Spectrom* 24:178–180
17. Tempez A, Canulescu S, Molchan IS, Döbeli M et al (2009) *Surf Int Anal* 41(12–13):966–973
18. Shimizu K, Kobayashi K, Thompson GE, Skeldon P et al (1996) *Philos Mag, B* 73:461–485
19. Pisonero J, Feldmann I, Bordel N, Sanz-Medel A et al (2005) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 382:1965–1974
20. Molchan IS, Thompson GE, Skeldon P, Trigoulet N et al (2009) *J Anal At Spectrom* 24:734–741
21. Stoffels E, Stoffels WW, Vender D, Haverlag M et al (1995) *Contrib Plasma Phys* 35:331–357
22. Overzet LJ, Lin Y, Luo L (1992) *J Appl Phys* 72:5579–5592
23. Wagatsuma K, Hirokawa K, Yamashita N (1996) *Anal Chim Acta* 324:147–154
24. Habazaki H, Fushimi K, Shimizu K, Skeldon P et al (2007) *Electrochem Commun* 9:1222–1227
25. Martín A, Menéndez A, Pereiro R, Bordel N et al (2007) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 388:1573–1582
26. Hodo-roaba V-D, Hoffmann V, Steers EBM, Wetzig K (2000) *J Anal At Spectrom* 15:1075–1080